tassium hydrogen chloromaleate is 2.41 Å long (Ellison & Levy, 1965) and in acetamide hemihydrochloride, 2.40 Å (Takei & Hughes, 1955). Hydrogen bonds similar to those in nitrilotriacetic acid are found in cytosine-5-acetic acid, where the hydrogen-bonded $O \cdots O$ distance is observed to be 2.506 Å (Marsh, Bierstedt & Eichhorn, 1962). The high density of nitrilotriacetic acid (1.66 g.cm⁻³) is probably a manifestation of the strong hydrogen bonding.

Fig.9 shows half of the molecules in the structure which form a hydrogen-bonded network of interconnected right- and left-handed helices with axes approximately normal to the xy plane. The molecules which are not shown form a similar network that is interleaved with the one in Fig.9, the molecules in it being parallel to and equidistant from the molecules shown. The vectors $\mathbf{a'} = \mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b'} = \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c'} = \mathbf{a} + 2\mathbf{c}$ form a nearly orthogonal cell with twice the volume of the chosen cell and with a unique angle β' of 89.7° . In terms of this nearly orthogonal cell the molecules shown on top of each other in Fig.9 are related by multiples of the translation vector $\mathbf{c'}$, while those not shown are generated by odd multiples of $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c'}$. There is no hydrogen bonding between the two networks.

I wish to express my thanks to Dr Richard E. Marsh and Professor Jürg Waser for their guidance and assistance. The preparation of drawings by Miss Lillian Casler is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- DUCHAMP, D. J. (1964). Amer. Cryst. Assoc. Meeting, Bozeman, Montana, paper B-14, p. 29.
- ELLISON, R. D. & LEVY, H. A. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 260. HAHN, T. (1960). Z. Kristallogr. 113, 403.
- HAUPTMAN, H. & KARLE, J. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 45.
- Howells, E. R., Phillips, D. C. & Rogers, D. (1950). Acta Cryst. 3, 210.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, p. 202. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP, ORNL-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A.
- KARLE, I. L. & KARLE, J. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 835.
- KARLE, I. L. & KARLE, J. (1966a). Acta Cryst. 21, 860.
- KARLE, J. & HAUPTMAN, H. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 635.
- KARLE, J. & KARLE, I. L. (1966b). Acta Cryst. 21, 849.
- MARSH, R. E., BIERSTEDT, R. & EICHHORN, E. L. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 310.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond. 3rd ed. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- SCHWARZENBACH, G., ACKERMANN, H. & RUCKSTUHL, P. (1949). Helv. Chim. Acta, 32, 1175.
- SCHWARZENBACH, G. & FREITAG, E. (1951). Helv. Chim. Acta, 34, 1492.
- SCHWARZENBACH, G., KAMPITSCH, E. & STEINER, R. (1945). Helv. Chim. Acta, 28, 828.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175.
- TAKEI, W. J. & HUGHES, E. W. (1955). Amer. Cryst. Assoc. Meeting, Pasadena, California, paper 60, p. 23.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). Nature, 150, 151.

Acta Cryst. (1967). 23, 832

A New Structure Type with Octahedron Pairs for Rh₂S₃, Rh₂Se₃ and Ir₂S₃

By E. Parthé and D. Hohnke

School of Metallurgical Engineering and Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa., U.S.A.

AND F. HULLIGER

Cyanamid European Research Institute, Cologny-Genève, Switzerland

(Received 12 January 1967)

The structure of Rh_2S_3 has been determined, using single-crystal data. The space group is $Pbcn(D_{2h}^{14})$ and the lattice constants are a=8.462, b=5.985, c=6.138 Å. The expected octahedral coordination of the rhodium atoms is confirmed. Every octahedron shares a common face with one other octahedron to form octahedron pairs. These octahedron pairs may be imagined to be arranged in layers which show the stacking sequence ABABA... Four rhodium atoms surround each sulfur atom at the vertices of a distorted tetrahedron. Isotypic compounds are Ir_2S_3 and Rh_2Se_3 . From intensity calculations for $Rh_2(S_{1-x}Se_x)_3$ it is inferred that a complete range of solid solubility exists between Rh_2S_3 and Rh_2Se_3 .

Introduction

Disagreement exists in the literature concerning the structure and composition of the phases in the system Rh–S. In particular, earlier experiments by one of us

showed that all attempts to prepare the reported RhS_2 led to a two-phase mixture containing elementary S and a homogeneous rhodium sulfide phase which was identified to be Rh_2S_3 (Hulliger, 1964). This compound was found to be a diamagnetic semiconductor which

indicates an ionic formula $Rh_2^{3+}S_3^{2-}$ with six 4d electrons left to the rhodium atoms. A diamagnetic d^6 configuration suggests the occurrence of $[RhS_6]$ octahedra in the structure. It was of interest to us to see if the actual structural features agree with our assumptions.

Experimental

Powder samples of Rh_2S_3 , Rh_2Se_3 , and Ir_2S_3 were prepared by sintering pressed stoichiometric mixtures of the constituent powdered elements in evacuated and sealed quartz tubes. To avoid explosion due to the sulfur pressure before the reaction took place, the temperature was raised slowly to reach 900 °C within one to three days, and the samples were kept at this temperature at least one day. In the case of the compounds Rh_2S_2Se and Rh_2SSe_2 the reaction product was reground and annealed at 700 °C for one week.

Single crystals of Rh_2S_3 were prepared by chemical transport reaction. Rh_2S_3 powder was used as a starting material, and bromine as a transport agent in a concentration of 5 mg.cm⁻³. The Rh_2S_3 powder was placed at the hot end of a quartz tube and held at 1100°C while small Rh_2S_3 crystals formed at the cool end which was kept at 1020°C.

Powder and single-crystal diffraction techniques were employed in this structure study, using Cu $K\alpha$ (λ Cu $K\alpha = 1.54051$ Å) and Cr $K\alpha$ (λ Cr $K\alpha = 2.28962$ Å) for the first and Mo $K\alpha$ (λ Mo $K\alpha = 0.7107$ Å) for the latter technique.

The cylindrical Rh₂S₃ single crystal used for this investigation had a slightly irregular cross-section of 0.0083 cm diameter and a length of 0.025 cm. It was rotated around the cylinder axis corresponding to the [100] direction. The diffracted intensities were recorded photographically on a Nonius integrating Weissenberg camera using the multiple film technique and were visually estimated by comparison with a calibrated film strip. Precession photographs of the hol zone were taken to provide the data for the cross-correlation of Weissenberg layers. A total of 1329 independent reflections within the range of the zero and the first three upper Weissenberg levels were examined. Of these 659 were of measurable intensity. Relative $|F_{hkl}|$ values were obtained by applying Lorentz-polarization and absorption corrections to the visually estimated intensities. Pronounced primary extinction of the nine reflections with highest intensity became apparent during the initial stages of refinement. These reflections, namely 400, 020, 420, 211, 231, 022, 402, 113 and 213 were given zero weight in the subsequent structure refinement. No other corrections for extinctions were made. Table 5.3.5B of the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1959) was used for the absorption correction for a cylindrical specimen with $\mu R = 0.5$; the Lp correction, using Table 5.2.5F of International Tables, was applied in the usual manner. The atomic scattering factors for this investigation were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962).

Structure determingtion

Weissenberg and precession photographs of a Rh_2S_3 single crystal could be indexed with an orthorhombic unit cell. The lattice parameters, subsequently refined from powder diffractometer data using the least-squares program by Mueller, Heaton & Miller (1960) and Gvildys (1965), are

$$a = 8.462 \pm 0.003 \text{ Å}$$

$$b = 5.985 \pm 0.002$$

$$c = 6.138 \pm 0.002$$

Systematic absences among the observed reflections on the Weissenberg photographs are: hkl, no extinctions, hk0 with h+k=2n+1, h0l with l=2n+1 and 0kl with k=2n+1. These extinctions are consistent with the uniquely determinable space group Pbcn (D_{2k}^{14}). Assuming four formula units of Rh₂S₃ per unit cell the X-ray density was calculated to be 6.46 g.cm⁻³, which agrees well with the measured density at 25°C of 6.40 g.cm⁻³ as determined by Juza, Huelsmann, Meisel & Biltz (1935).

To obtain a trial structure for the placement of the 8 Rh and 12 S atoms in the orthorhombic unit cell we made use of our observation on the Weissenberg and precession photographs that all reflections with k+l=2n+1 are systematically weaker than reflections with k+l=2n. If all reflections with k+l=2n+1 were totally absent, the structure would be based on an Acentered orthorhombic Bravais lattice. Tentatively we assumed that the heavy Rh atoms have an atomic arrangement approximately based on an A-centered lattice. This restricts the possible values of the adjustable parameters. Assuming that the Rh atoms occupy the eight-fold position 8(d) one finds that an A-centered pattern of repetition can be constructed only if $y=\frac{1}{4}$ and $x=0,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4}$, or $z=0,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4}$. The twelve S atoms were tentatively placed on equipoints 8(d) and 4(c). To find the values of the adjustable parameters we made use of the observation that five reflections had practically zero intensity: 006, 102, 202, 206 and 504. The five non-linear structure factor equations could be solved for the adjustable parameters by the use of a variation method. A small computer program was written which permitted the calculation of the structure factors of the five reflections for different parameters, rejecting all those solutions which did not result in zero for all five F values. Of the results obtained only one set of parameters gave a trial structure which was acceptable from geometrical considerations. Using this set of parameters the intensities of a powder diffraction pattern were calculated (program by Jeitschko & Parthé, 1966) and compared with an experimentally obtained Debye-Scherrer pattern. The good agreement indicated that the structure proposal was essentially correct, but that a refinement of the parameters was needed.

The refinement proceeded along two independent methods: electron density projections and least-squares

calculation. The x and y parameters were refined by reiterative electron density projections $\varrho(x, y)$. The final electron density projection is shown in Fig.1 with the z values written next to each atom.

For the least-square calculations the full-matrix computer program by Gantzel, Sparks & Trueblood (1961) as modified by Okaya (1962) was used. The function minimized was $\Sigma w(|F_{obs}| - |F_{cale}|)^2$. In this calculation amplitudes of reflections which were actually observed were given unit weight; those of reflections too weak to be observed were given zero weight. After excluding those reflections from the refinement for which primary extinction was present, the convergence was rapid.

A total of eleven parameters were refined for this structure, seven positional coordinates, three isotropic temperature factors and one scale factor. At the end of the refinement all parameter shifts were less than 10⁻⁴ of the estimated standard deviation computed from the inverse matrix. Considering all observed reflections, except those nine with pronounced extinction, a final R value of 0.069 is obtained, where $R = \Sigma ||F_c|$ $-|F_o||/\Sigma |F_o|$. If the upper limits for all unobserved reflections are taken as $\frac{1}{2}|F_{\min}|$ (corresponding to the smallest structure factor which can be observed near that part of reciprocal space), the discrepancy index for all allowed reflections becomes R = 0.145. The considerable increase from R = 0.069 for observed reflections only to R = 0.145 for all reflections allowed is due to the fact that 670 of 1329 reflections are in the unobserved category. Table 2 contains the values for F_{calc} and F_{obs} from the final least-squares cycle. The final positional parameters, isotropic temperature factors and their estimated standard deviations, obtained from the refinement for all possible reflections, are given in Table 1.

Discussion of the structure

The projection of the Rh_2S_3 structure along the c axis is shown in Fig. 1. A close study reveals that the structure of Rh₂S₃ is characterized by distorted [RhS₆] octahedra and distorted [SRh₄] tetrahedra. The maximum deviation of the octahedron angles from 90° amounts to almost 19°; the deviations of the tetrahedron angles from 109° are as high as $\pm 20^{\circ}$. The [RhS₆] octahedra occur in pairs having one face in common. The octahedron pairs are three-dimensionally connected; however, one may for descriptive purposes consider the arrangement of the octahedron pairs in a layer parallel to the (010) plane. The arrangement of such a single layer perpendicular to the b axis is shown in Fig.2. There are two layers per unit cell, one being the mirror image of the other. In this way one may describe the Rh_2S_3 structure as a stacking sequence ABAB of octahedron-pair layers along [010] where A and B are related by a *b*-glide reflection.

The observed interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 3 and Fig. 3. The average Rh–S distance

 Table 1. Atomic coordinates and temperature factors

	x	σ_x	У	σ_y	z	σ_z	В	σ_B
8 Rh in 8(d) 8 S in 8(d) 4 S in 4(c)	0·10645 0·1518 0	0.00008 0.0003	0·2517 0·3906 0·9525	0.0003 0.0005 0.0007	0·0338 0·3930 0·25	0.0002 0.0010	0·186 0·169 0·149	0·008 0·030 0·042



Fig. 1. Electron density projection $\rho(x, y)$ for Rh₂S₃. The contours are at equally spaced intervals on an arbitrary scale; the numbers indicate the atomic z parameters.

Table 2. Calculated and observed structure factors of Rh_2S_3

Reading from left to right, the columns contain the values of h, $|F_{obs}|$, and F_{cale} .

$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3$
$\begin{array}{c} \underline{h} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ $
11 $(12, 0)$ $3, 1, 2$ $20, 1$ 12 $21, 2$ $20, 1$ $30, 1$ $30, 1$ 13 $41, 5$ $30, 1$ $30, 1$ $30, 1$ 14 $41, 5$ $30, 1$ $30, 1$ $30, 1$ 15 $41, 5$ $30, 1$ $30, 1$ $30, 1$ 16 $41, 5$ $5, 1$ $10, 10, 1$ $30, 10, 1$ 17 $41, 5, 1$ $5, 1$ $10, 10, 1$ $30, 10, 1$ 12 $40, 1$ $10, 10, 10, 1$ $30, 10, 1$ $30, 10, 1$ 14 $40, 1, 1$ $40, 1, 1$ $40, 1, 1$ $10, 10, 10, 1$ 13 $40, 1, 1$ $40, 1, 1$ $10, 10, 10, 1$ $10, 10, 10, 1$ 14 $40, 1, 1, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c} 7 & 41.1 & -36.6 \\ 8 & 4.3 & -46.0 \\ 9 & 11.4 & -36.6 \\ 11 & 12.3 & -17.6 \\ 12 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 13 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 13 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 13 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 13 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 13 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 14 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 14 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 14 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 14 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 14 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 15 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 15 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 16 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 16 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 17 & 23.5 & -17.6 \\ 18 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 19 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.6 & -17.6 \\ 10 & 41.7 & -17.6 \\ 10$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c} 1 & c17.4 & 4.0 \\ 4 & c17.5 & -4.5 \\ 4 & c17.5 & -4.5 \\ 4 & c17.5 & -4.5 \\ 4 & c16.5 & -16.6 \\ 7 & c16.5 & -16.6 \\ 7 & c16.5 & -16.6 \\ 1 & c16.4 & -16.6 \\ 2 & c16.7 & -16.6 \\ 2 & c16.7 & -16.6 \\ 3 & c16.7 & -16.6 \\ 3 & c16.7 & -16.6 \\ 4 & c16.7 & -16.6 \\ 5 & c16.7 & -16.7 \\ 7 & $
h = 1 1 107.6 -76.11 1 107.6 -76.13 -76.7 -60.7 2 125.5 -76.7 -60.7 -60.7 3 -67.7 -60.7 -60.7 -60.7 3 -67.7 -60.7 -60.7 -60.7 10 105.6 -47.7 -60.7 -60.7 10 105.6 -47.7 -60.7 -60.7 11 30.6 35.5 -45.7 -60.7 12 87.6 -60.7 -10.3 -60.7 13 90.6 35.8 -60.7 -55.8 2 -57.7 -55.8 -56.7 -55.8 2 -57.7 -55.8 -56.7 -55.8 2 -57.7 -57.8 -56.7 -57.8 2 -57.7 -57.8 -57.8 -57.8 1 -67.7 -57.8 -57.8 -57.8 1 -67.7 -75.8 -57.7 -57
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ 131.2 & 141.3 \\ 4 \ 141.5 & 141.3 \\ 5 \ 45.2 & 143.5 \\ 6 \ 45.2 & 143.5 \\ 7 \ 11.4 & 122.4 \\ 7 \ 11.4 & 122.4 \\ 7 \ 11.4 & 122.4 \\ 7 \ 11.4 & 122.4 \\ 7 \ 11.4 & 122.4 \\ 7 \ 11.4 & 122.4 \\ 7 \ 11.4 & 122.4 \\ 11.4 \ 122.4 \\ 11.4 \ 122.4 \\ 11.4 \ 122.4 \\ 11.4 \ 122.4 \\ 123.4 \ 124.4 \\ 13.4 \ 124.4 \\ 13.4 \ 124.4 \\ 13.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 144.4 \\ 14.4 \ 14.4 \ 14.4 \\ 14.4 \ 14.4 \ 14.4 \\ 14.4 \ 14.4 \ 14.4 \\ 14.4 \ 14$

.

is 2.36 Å, which corresponds precisely to the sum of Pauling's covalent Rh radius for coordination 6, namely 1.32 Å, plus the tetrahedral S radius of 1.04 Å.

Table 3. Interatomic distances and octahedron angles in Rh₂S₃

The interatomic distances have an error of < 0.003 Å, the octahedral angles an error of $< 0.3^{\circ}$.

[RhS ₆] octah	edra		
RhS(1)	2.387 Å	S(1)RhS(2)	79.0°
RhS(2)	2.404	S(1)RhS(3)	81.5
RhS(3)	2.378	S(1)RhS(4)	108.8
RhS(4)	2.378	S(1)RhS(5)	89.8
RhS(5)	2.340	S(2)RhS(3)	79.2
RhS(6)	2.311	S(2)RhS(4)	104.8
		S(2)RhS(6)	82.9
RhRh di	stance in	S(3)RhS(5)	84.3
octahedron pairs: 3.208 Å		S(3) Rh S(6)	88.2
		S(4)RhS(5)	93.0
Average Rh	S distance	S(4)S(6)	97.4
in an octahe	dron: 2·365 Å	$S(5) \dots Rh \dots S(6)$	105.6
[SRh4] tetral	hedra		
S (8d)Rh	: 2.378. 2.378. 2.	340, 2·387 Å a	l = 2.371 Å
S (4c) Rh	: 2.311, 2.311, 2.	404, 2.404	$\bar{l} = 2.357$

Our expectation of an octahedral coordination of Rh thus has been substantiated. Two features are, however, surprising, namely the strong distortion of the coordination polyhedra and the formation of octahedron pairs by sharing a face. In terms of the ligand field theory the diamagnetic d^6 configuration requires no distortion of the octahedral symmetry in order to obtain the energetically most stable arrangement. In view of the predominantly covalent bonding in Rh2S3, additional information about the reasons for such distortion must take into account the energy states of the electrons as obtained from MO-LCAO calculations. The separation of the Rh atoms within the octahedron pairs (3.21 Å) is large enough to assure that no Rh-Rh bonds occur. A distance of 2.72 Å would result if the Rh atoms of a double-octahedron were at the centers of regular octahedra with all Rh-S distances set equal to their average value in this structure 2.365 Å.

Isotypic compounds

Powder patterns of the chalcogenides Rh_2S_2Se , Rh_2SSe_2 , Rh_2Se_3 and Ir_2S_3 could be indexed in a manner similar to that of the Rh_2S_3 powder pattern in Table 4. The lattice constants of these compounds and the measured magnetic susceptibilities at liquid nitrogen and room temperatures are assembled in Table 5. Rh_2Se_3 and Ir_2S_3 show also the (nearly) temperatureindependent diamagnetism like Rh_2S_3 and will thus have a bonding mechanism similar to Rh_2S_3 .

Integrated intensities of the Rh_2SSe_2 powder diffraction peaks, obtained from a diffractometer recording, were used to investigate the two alternatives of ordered or disordered substitution of sulfur atoms in positions 8(d) and 4(c) in space group *Pbcn*. Comparison in Table 6 of the observed intensities with intensities calculated for an ordered and a disordered arrangement (atom coordinates from Rh_2S_3) shows conclusively that sulfur is randomly substituted by Se. Similarly, an intensity comparison for Rh_2S_2Se demonstrates that this compound is a disordered compound and thus a complete range of solid solubility presumably extends from Rh_2S_3 to Rh_2Se_3 with $8(S_{1-x}Se_x)$ in 8(d) and $4(S_{1-x}Se_x)$ in 4(c). Fig.4 shows the linear change of unit cell volume with increasing substitution of S by Se.

Attempts to prepare the isoelectronic compounds Rh₂Te₃, Ir₂Se₃, Rh₂S₂Te, Rh₂Se₂Te and also CoRhS₃, GaRhS₃, YRhS₃, RhPdPS₂, RhIrSe₃ and RuPdS₃ were not successful.



Fig. 2. The arrangement of the [RhS₆] double octahedra in a layer parallel to the (010) plane. There are two such layers per unit cell, one being the mirror image of the other.



Fig. 3. Bond distances in the [RhS₆] octahedron.

Table 4. Powder intensity calculation for Rh₂S₃

Cr $K\alpha$ radiation (λ Cr $K\alpha = 2.28962$ Å)

hkl	10^3 . $\sin^2 heta_c$	10^3 . $\sin^2 heta_o$	Ic	Io	hkl	10^3 . $\sin^2 heta_c$	10^3 . $\sin^2 heta_o$	Ic	Io
110	54.9		< 1	_	512	633-3	_	4	
200	73.2	73.7	77	W/	141	638.5		2	_
111	89.7	89.7	102	mw	521	638.7		10	
002	130.1	130.1	277	ms	413	642.5	643.0	22	111142
211	144.6	144.6	042	1115	413	656.0)	045 0	20.	000
211	144.0	144.0	240	005	240	658.6		15	
1020	140.4	140.0	240	ms	240	658.0 (659.8	15	vw
102	137.3	191 5	< 1 42		122	660.7		3	
021	181.1	181.5	42	UW	133	000·7 J		9 J	
112	194.0	194.0	30	UW	214	000.4		2	
121	199.4	200.7	34	w	241	093.4	702.5	3	
310	201.3		6/ J		024	702.9	703.5	38	vw
202	212.4		<1		233	/15.6	/16-2	107	mw
220	219.6		6		124	/21.2	722.5	50 [mw
311	236.1	235.9	43	vw	304	721·3 J		44 J	
212	248.9	249.6	28	vvw	042	724.5	724.4	68	w
221	254.4		<1	—	611	730-3	730-8	100	mw
022	285.5	286.0	274	ms	142	742.8	743.3	49 L	1111
400	292.8	292.9	118	mw	522	743·1 j		4 ∫	011
122	303·8 ∖	304.6	40 L	147	423	752.3	752-1	20	vvw
302	303∙9 ∫	5040	20 ∫	"	314	757.9		< 1	
312	340.5		3		432	761.3		2	
321	345.9		1	<u> </u>	224	776.1		<1	_
130	347.6	—	8		341	784.9	-	1	—
222	358.7	359.1	42	vw	530	786-9		1	
411	364.2	364.9	103	mw	242	797·8 <u>∖</u>	797.9	8 [147
113	368.0	368.5	128	mw	602	798∙0 ∫	121 2	55 ∫	
131	382.4		12	_	620	805-2		82	
213	422.9	422·7	211	т	333	807.1 }	806.6	5 }	т
402	432·0	432.8	147	mw	513	807·2 j		67 J	
231	437·3	138.2	359 [5	531	821.6		3	
420	439∙2 ∫	430 2	130 J	5	612	834.6	<u> </u>	3	—
322	450-2	450 ∙7	38	vw	621	840.0	—	15	
023	459 ∙4		5		404	849.4		10	<u> </u>
412	468.6		5		324	867.7	867.9	140	т
421	474·0		1		440	878.2	878.5	62	w
123	477.7		2		414	886.0		2	—
132	486.7		1		342	889.3	889.5	63	w
330	494·0	_	<1	—	043	898.5		<1	
510	494-2		2	—	134	904.2	-	<1	—
313	514.4	514.5	32	vvw	441	913.0		4	
331	528·8 ∖	528.6	7	nnw	143	916-8		<1	
511	528∙9 ∫	520 0	13 5	0011	523	917.0		5	
223	532.6	—	6		115	924.5	924.8	157	т
232	541.6		3		532	926.0		5	
004	556.6	557.6	18	vvw	150	933.0	—	4	
104	574.9		12	—	710	933.4		1	—
422	578.3	579•4	100	mw	433	935-2	935.6	80	mw
040	585.4	585.6	32	vvw	622	944.4	944·3	109	mw
502	596.7		4		234	959-1		2	
114	611.5	—	12		151	967.8		<1	
041	620-2	_	4		711	968-2		2	_
323	624·2		<1	—	243	971.7		20	_
204	629.8		15	—	215	979.5	979.4	354	S
332	633·2		4		424	995.8	995.8	1000	vvs

Table 5. Lattice constants of Rh and Ir chalcogenides with Rh_2S_3 structure type and their measured magnetic susceptibilities

Compound	a (Å)	b (Å)	(Å)	$10^6 \cdot \chi_M$ (Room temperature)	10 ⁶ . χ _M (Liquid nitrogen)
Rh ₂ S ₃ Rh ₂ S ₂ Se Rh ₂ SSe ₂	8.462 ± 0.003 8.646 ± 0.004 8.782 ± 0.005	5.985 ± 0.002 6.090 ± 0.003 6.181 ± 0.006	6.138 ± 0.002 6.220 ± 0.003 6.310 ± 0.005	-21	-20
Rh_2Se_3 Ir_2S_3	8.888 ± 0.002 8.465 ± 0.002	6.294 ± 0.002 6.011 ± 0.002	6.423 ± 0.002 6.149 ± 0.002	- 14* - 35	6 * 27

* Because of ferromagnetic impurities the given values correspond to an upper limit of the susceptibility.

Table 6. Intensity calculation for ordered and disordered Rh₂SSe₂

Cu $K\alpha$ radiation (λ Cu $K\alpha = 1.54051$ Å)

				•				
hkl	I_c^{ord}	Icdisord	Io		hkl	I_c^{ord}	<i>Ic</i> disord	Io
110	130	1			214	16	0	
200	24	150	150		214	10	10	
111	27	190	100		241	2	10	_
111	377	100	190		024	47	26	26
002	607	300	350		233	69	70	60
211	918	1000 l	1240		611	65	66]	
020	347	235 (1240		304	34	32	
102	127	50 Í	60		042	48	40 }	195
021	270	233	216		124	54	46	
112	102	197	190		522	8	40 J	
121	354	202 1	170		142	122	0 01)	_
210	404	202	600		142	123	01	143
310	404	300 J			423	/0	58 J	
202	< 1	13			432	10	6	—
220	15	<1			314	19	1	—
311	219	182	175		224	<1	2	_
212	306	175	180		530	1	3	
221	4	2			341	1	1	
022	489	544)			602	20	44.5	_
400	403	231	774		242	29	44	
202	15	251 J			242	9	-4	156
102	107	10			620	6/	73	
122	107	84	85		513	43	32 J	
312	< 1	17			333	7	<1	
321	13	7	—		531	2	<1	
130	38	44	45		612	12	7	
222	82	129)	455		621	33	30	32
411	310	237	455		404	< 1	< 1	
113	365	316	300		324	72	63	50
131	2	25	20		110	12	05	59
213	160	385)	20		440	0	0	
402	212	226	710		414	3	3	·
402	200	242			342	43	33	32
420	209	243	1050		043	<1	1	
231	1000	768 J			134	1	<1	—
322	75	64	70		441	<1	4	
023	80	29]	59		523	10	6	_
412	53	30 (50		143	<1	<1	
421	28	4			532	2	5	_
123	22	12			710	ฉี	1	
132	< 1	-5			115	50	20.)	_
510	2	11			115	50	39	
220	15	11			150	9	2	85
212	145	2	70		433	30	28	
515	145	81	/8		622	33	17 J	
511	18	23			711	6	2	
331	56	60 (130		234	3	2	
223	33	36 (150		151	1	4	
232	35	20			243	6	13)	~ ~ ~
004	3	15	—		215	106	77 }	91
422	139	84	89		424	79	88 1	
104	4	6	_		613	60	51	136
040	10	21	20		504	2	JI J	
502	56	30	32		442	61		
114	16	50	65		442	110	09	• • • •
041	40	17	05		031	118	94 }	280
222	10	17	_		251	152	126 J	
323	2				025	<1	1	
512	5	18			702	28	20	20
332	10	17 (130		125	39	22	20
204	34	44 👔	150		514	4	9	
521	83	47			334	17	17	20
141	9	5	_		343	- 1	~ 1	20
413	17	17	20		712	Q 1	14	10
600	1	1	20		714	07	14	12
431	1	8	_		121	-1	4	_
240	- +	20	22		152	< 1	<1	
24U 122	33	30	32		541	4	2	
133	< 1	<1			315	14	16	20

Structural characteristics of the Rh and Ir chalcogenides

Table 7 gives a survey of the known Rh and Ir chalcogenides and their crystal structures. In all of them, except the two metal-rich phases $Rh_{17}S_{15}$ and Rh_3Te_2 , the metal atom is octahedrally coordinated. As Table 7 shows, there are six different structure types for the Rh and Ir chalcogenides all of which show these octahedra.



Fig. 4. Unit cell volumes of $Rh_2(S_{1-x}Se_x)_3$.

Rhodium chalcogenides

The equiatomic compounds crystallize with the NiAs type which is based on a hexagonal close-packing of the anions. Hexagonally stacked octahedra share faces and infinite metal chains are built up along the *c* axis. The NiAs-type phase in Rh–Se obviously has a homogeneity range which extends towards the 2:3 compound. What had been thought to be another modification of Rh₂Se₃ (Hulliger, 1964) most probably is a strongly Rh-deficient NiAs phase ($c/a \simeq 1.5$; the *a* and *c* values were erroneously interchanged). Most of the NiAs-type compounds are metallic, such as RhSe, where the Rh–Rh distance within the chains is only 2.75 Å. In comparison the shortest Rh–Rh distance in non-metallic Rh₂Se₃ is ~3.35 Å.

The Rh_2S_3 type is the only known structure type for the 2:3 composition. There are no metallic Rh-Rh interactions and the formation of $[RhS_6]$ octahedron pairs has a simple geometric basis. It is possible that the reported Rh_3S_4 is actually Rh_2S_3 .

The situation with the chalcogenides of approximate stoichiometry 1:2 is rather complex. It appears that the $IrSe_2$ type and probably also the CdI_2 type will be found with exact composition MX₂. However, at a different temperature the pyrite type may occur. The latter can have quite an extensive range of homogeneity as for instance in the case of the rhodium selenide where it extends from RhSe₂ to RhSe₂.₅ while still retaining the cubic symmetry with the defect randomly distributed. With higher Se content the defects on the Rh sites order and the rhombohedral pyrite-related Rh₃Se₈ structure

Table 7. Su	rvey of the	known R	h <i>and</i> Ir	chalcogenides
-------------	-------------	---------	-----------------	---------------

Sulfides		Selenides	enides Tellurides RhaTe2 (RhaTe2 type)		
Rh17S15(Pd17Se15 type	e) (1, 10)				()
Rh ₃ S ₄ ?	(8)	RhSe(NiAs type) Composition actually closer to RhSe ₁₃ .5 wi	(12) th	RhTe(NiAs type)	(2)
$Rh_2S_3(Rh_2S_3 type)$	(5)	Rh2Se ₃ (Rh ₂ S ₃ type) RhSe ₂ L.T.(IrSe ₂ type) RhSe _{-2} H.T.(pyrite type)	(5) (6) (3)	RhTe ₂ L.T.(pyrite type) RhTe ₂ H.T.(CdI ₂ type)	(2) (2)
RhS~3 (defect pyrite rhombohedral)	(6, 7, 8)	Rh_3Se_8 (Rh_3S_8 type)	(6, 7, 16)	RhTe-3 (defect pyrite rhombohedral)	(15)
Iridium chalcogenides	5				
$Ir_2S_3(Rh_2S_3 type)$ $IrS_2(IrSe_2 type)$ $Ir_3S_8 (Rh_3S_8 type)$	(5) (6) (7, 13, 14)	IrSe ₂ (IrSe ₂ type) IrSe _{~3} (defect pyrite, rhombohedral)	(11) (6, 7, 14)	IrTe ₂ (CdI ₂ type) IrTe _{~3} (defect pyrite)	(9) (9)
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8)	Geller (1962b) Geller (1955) Geller & Cetlin (195 Zachariasen (1966) This work Hulliger (1964) Hohnke & Parthé (1' Juza, Huelsmann, M & Biltz (1935)	(9) (10) (5) (11) (12) (13) 967) (14) eisel (15) (16)	Hockings & Whit Geller (1962 <i>a</i>) Barricelli (1958) Schubert, Balk, B Esslinger & Stoltz Biltz, Laar, Ehrlic Biltz (1937) Hulliger, unpublis Rummery & Hey	e (1960) ahn, Breimer, 2 (1959) 2h & Meisel (1937) 3hed ding (1967)	

Note: RhS₂ (Thomassen, 1929) could not be found by 6, 7 or 8. IrTe, reported by Groeneveld-Meijer (1955), was actually IrTe₂ according to Haraldsen (1957). No compound Ir₂Se₃ is observed by (7). For an accurate study of Rh–Se see (16).

type is observed (Hohnke & Parthé, 1967). This structure type is also found with Ir_3S_8 and most probably with RhS_{~3} and IrSe_{~3}.

This study is a contribution of the Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter, University of Pennsylvania, supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, Office of the Secretary of Defense.

References

- BARRICELLI, L. B. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 75.
- BILTZ, W. (1937). Z. anorg. Chem. 233, 288.
- BILTZ, W., LAAR, J., EHRLICH, P. & MEISEL, K. (1937). Z. anorg. Chem. 233, 257.
- GANTZEL, P. K., SPARKS, R. A. & TRUEBLOOD, K. N. (1961). Univ. of California Program UCLA LS 1.
- Geller, S. (1955). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 77, 2641.
- GELLER, S. (1962a). Acta Cryst. 15, 713.
- Geller, S. (1962b). Acta Cryst. 15, 1198.
- GELLER, S. & CETLIN, B. B. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 272.
- GROENEVELD-MEIJER, W. O. J. (1955). Amer. Min. 40, 646.
- GVILDYS, J. (1965). Argonne National Laboratory Program Library B106.

HARALDSEN, H. (1957). Experientia Suppl. 7, 165.

- HOCKINGS, E. F. & WHITE, J. G. (1960). J. phys. Chem. 64 1042.
- HOHNKE, D. & PARTHÉ, E. (1967). To appear in Z.Kristallogr. HULLIGER, F. (1964). Nature, Lond. 204, 644.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1959). Vol. II. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JEITSCHKO, W. & PARTHÉ, E. (1966). A Fortran IV Program for the Intensity Calculation of Powder Patterns. Report of the Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter, Univ. of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa. U.S.A.
- JUZA, R., HUELSMANN, O., MEISEL, K. & BILTZ, W. (1935). Z. anorg. Chem. 225, 369.
- MUELLER, M. H., HEATON, L. & MILLER, K. T. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 828.
- OKAYA, Y. (1962). Private communication.
- RUMMERY, T. E. & HEYDING, R. D. (1967). Canad. J. Chem. 45, 131.
- SCHUBERT, K., BALK, M., BHAN, S., BREIMER, H., ESS-LINGER, P. & STOLZ, E. (1959). Naturwissenschaften, 46, 647.
- THOMASSEN, L. (1929). Z. phys. Chem. B4, 277.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 334.

Acta Cryst. (1967). 23, 840

Structures Cristallines des Composés DyAl et CeAl et des Autres Composés Equiatomiques de l'Aluminium avec les Métaux des Terres Rares*

PAR CHRISTIAN BÈCLE ET REMY LEMAIRE

Laboratoire d'Électrostatique et de Physique du Métal, C.N.R.S., Grenoble, France

(Reçu le 18 mai 1967)

The crystalline structures of the compounds TAl, where T is a rare earth element, are of two types. The first type, DyAl, crystallizes in space group *Pbcm*; the compounds of praseodymium to thulium, except europium, are isomorphous with DyAl. The second type, CeAl, crystallizes in space group *Cmcm*; LaAl is isomorphous with this type of structure. The compound PrAl can have either of these two structures, depending upon the thermal treatment. Both structures are formed from the same motifs, *viz.* chains of aluminum, trigonal prisms which present an analogy with a half-cell of CsCl. Only the arrangement of these motifs differs. The structure CeAl can be formed from the structure DyAl by sliding the atomic layers in a direction parallel to the *a* axis of DyAl.

Introduction

L'étude des alliages avec les métaux des terres rares a été longtemps retardée en raison des difficultés de préparation; il est en effet difficile de les fondre dans des creusets d'oxydes réfractaires sans les contaminer. Runnals & Lorimer (1965), en préparant un monocristal de samarium métallique dans un creuset d'alumine recristallisée, ont observé des cristaux en forme d'aiguilles sur la paroi du creuset; leur composition étudiée à la sonde électronique était SmAl. Après analyse aux rayons X, ils ont attribué à ce composé une structure du type CsCl. Van Vucht (1957), Buschow (1965*a*, *b*), Buschow & van Vucht (1965, 1966) ont montré que les alliages de composition équiatomique avec l'aluminium cristallisent avec deux mailles orthorhombiques; l'une pour les composés avec le lanthane, le cérium et le praséodyme, l'autre pour les composés avec les éléments allant du praséodyme à l'erbium. Ils ont déterminé les paramètres de la maille et laissé le choix pour ces structures entre plusieurs groupes spatiaux. Avant d'entreprendre l'étude magnétique de ces composés, nous avons cherché à élucider leurs structures cristallines.

^{*} Ce travail recouvre une partie de la thèse de Doctorat d'État ès-Sciences Physiques de M. Christian Bècle: Numéro d'enregistrement au Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique: A. O. 1417; à paraître.